

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,887	12/30/2004	Yuichi Tokita	S1459.70056US00	2734
23628 7590 07/30/2008 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			TRINH, THANH TRUC	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/519,887
 TOKITA ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 THANH-TRUC TRINH
 1795

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	rsonnel):				
(1) <u>THANH-TRUC TRINH</u> .	(3) <u>Robert Jensen</u> .				
(2) <u>Edna Wong</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 10 July 2008.					
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑	☑ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)  No. If Yes, brief description: <u>proposed amendments to claims 1-4</u> .					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-4</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Wariishi et al. (US Patent	6376765) and Osuka (WO 02/14322).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	〗 was not reached. h) □ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant presents new amendments to include efficiency to the independent claims. The Examiner suggests that efficiency is an inherent property to the claimed device. Any amendments are subjected to further consideration and examination.</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the lag GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, on reverse side or on attached sheet.	st Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS FONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS RVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO				

/Edna Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.